UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/839,643 | 04/20/2001 | Gad Keren | 34948 | 2139 |
| | 7590 12/21/201 OYNIHAN d/b/a PRT | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 1644 | 16 | NGUYEN, CAMTU TRAN | | |
| ARLINGTON, VA 22215 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3772 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/21/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision |
|---------------------------------|
| from Pre-Appeal Brief |
| Review |

| Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | |
|---|--|
| KEREN ET AL. Art Unit | |
| 3772 | |
| 1 | |

| This is in response to the Pre-Appea | Brief Request for Review filed 6 O | ctober 2010. |
|---|--|--|
| Improper Request – The Freason(s): | Request is improper and a conferer | ce will not be held for the following |
| ☐ The request does not inc | s not been filed concurrent with the lude reasons why a review is appro is included with the Pre-Appeal Brid | priate. |
| | nse continues to run from the receip Immunication, if no Notice of Appea | ot date of the Notice of Appeal or from I has been received. |
| held. The application remains un is required to submit an appeal b brief will be reset to be one mont running from the receipt of the no | der appeal because there is at leas rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.3 h from mailing this decision, or the otice of appeal, whichever is greate B7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail | Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been tone actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37. The time period for filing an appeal balance of the two-month time period r. Further, the time period for filing of the date of this decision or the receipt date |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | ed the status of the claim(s) is as fo | |
| | conference has been held. The rejution on the merits remains closed. | ection is withdrawn and a Notice of No further action is required by |
| | conference has been held. The reje action is required by applicant at th | ction is withdrawn and a new Office is time. |
| All participants: | | |
| (1) <u>Camtu T. Nguyen</u> . | (3) <i>Michael</i> | <u>Phillips</u> . |
| (2) <u>Patricia Bianco</u> . | (4) | |
| /Camtu T. Nguyen/ Examiner, Art Unit 3772 | /Patricia Bianco/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3772 | /Michael Phillips/ RQAS |